

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/670,332	Applicant(s) PARK ET AL.	
	Examiner Nathan Danielsen	Art Unit 2627	

All Participants:

(1) Nathan Danielsen.

(2) Seth Kim (Reg. # 54,577).

Date of Interview: 4 December 2009

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 4:30 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See the Examiner's Amendment section of the accompanying Office action.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nathan Danielsen/
Examiner, Art Unit 2627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

